### 1.3. Water Harvesting

### 1.3.1. Tank Categories

Table 93: Market tank capacity and corresponding dimensions for 4 identified categories (Rainwater tanks direct 2013)

| Category | Volume | Diameter (mm) | Height (mm) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 4000 | 1830 | 1750 |
| 2 | 5500 | 1800 | 2300 |
| 3 | 8000 | 2350 | 2200 |
| 4 | 9500 | 3090 | 1580 |

Table 94: Typical market tank capacity and corresponding dimensions (Rainwater tanks direct 2013)

| Volume (L) | Diameter (mm) | Height (mm) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1000 | 1100 | 1300 |
| 1500 | 1150 | 1700 |
| 2000 | 1200 | 1900 |
| 2500 | 1450 | 2200 |
| 3000 | 1410 | 2150 |
| 3500 | 1500 | 1750 |
| 4000 | 2400 | 1530 |
| 4500 | 1840 | 2000 |
| 5000 | 1800 | 2300 |
| 5500 | 2170 | 2320 |
| 7200 | 2180 | 2350 |
| 7500 | 2570 | 2200 |
| 9000 |  | 2300 |
| 10000 |  | 3000 |



Figure 261: Typical tank type and dimension (Rainwater tanks direct 2013)


Figure 262: Typical design option of the tank above ground and how rainwater tank can be part of a stormwater system


Figure 263: Typical design of the underground option

### 1.3.2. Used Equations

The following equations were used in pump design using an Excel Spread sheets.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Continuity equation: } Q & =A \times V \\
\text { Reynolds number: } R e & =\frac{p V D}{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moody equation: $\lambda=0.0055\left[1+\left(20000 \frac{k}{D}+\frac{10^{6}}{R e}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right]$
Total head: $H_{T}=H_{D}+\frac{Q^{2}}{12.1}\left(\frac{\lambda_{D} L_{D}}{D_{D}{ }^{5}}\right)+\frac{K Q^{2}}{12.1 D_{D}{ }^{4}}$

| Client | Tonkin Consulting | Date:26/05/2015 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Project | North Terrace Drainage System | Sheet no: 93-101 |
| Subject | Bioretention system Design | By: Menguliu Feng |
| Reviewed By: | Anne Wickramaratne | Date: $18 / 05$ 2015 |
| Approved By: | Eriny Abdelraouf | Date: $22 / 05 / 2015$ |

### 1.4. Bioretention Basin 1 Design

### 1.4.1. Calculation summary

The table below shows the results of the design calculation.

Table 95: Bioretention basin 1 calculation summaries Appendix $C$ - Bioretention basin 1 design

| Bioretention basin 1 <br> Calculation task | Outcome | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Identify design criteria |  |  |
| Conveyance flow standard ARI | 5 | year |
| Area of Bioretention | 70 | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Max extended retention depth | 200 | mm |
| Filter media type | 36 | $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| 2 Catchment characteristics |  |  |
| Road area | 5012 | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Footpath area | 2148 | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Fraction impervious |  |  |
| Road and footpath | 0.9 |  |
| 3 Estimate design flow rates |  |  |
| Time of concentration | 5 | min |
| Identify rainfall intensities |  |  |
| Station used for IFD data | Kent Town, Adelaide |  |
| 100 yr . ARI | 182 | $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| $5 \mathrm{yr} . \mathrm{ARI}$ | 83.6 | $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Peak design flows |  |  |
| Calculation task | Outcome | Unit |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{5}$ | 0.142 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |

- consulting

| $\mathrm{Q}_{100}$ | 0.391 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Q_{\text {infiltration }}$ | 0.00084 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| 4 Perforated collection pipe capacity |  |  |
| Pipe diameter | 100 | mm |
| Number of pipes | 1 |  |
| Pipe capacity | 0.00267 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Capacity of perforations | 0.00286 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Soil media infiltration capacity | 0.00084 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Check pipe capacity > Soil capacity | YES |  |
| 5 Inlet opening width |  |  |
| Width of opening for inflows | 1.25 | m |
| Coarse sediment removal | Forebay |  |
| Coarse sediment removal area | 5 | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Coarse sediment removal depth | 0.5 | m |
| Coarse sediment clean-out frequency | 1 | year |
| 6 Velocities over vegetation |  |  |
| Velocity for 5 yr. flow (<0.5 m/s) | 0.355 | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Velocity for 100 yr . flow (<1 m/s) | 0.977 | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| 7 over flow system |  |  |
| System to convey minor floods | Grated pit $900 \times 900$ |  |
| 8 Surrounding soil check |  |  |
| Native soil hydraulic conductivities | 180 | $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Filter media | 36-180 | $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| More than 10 times higher than soil? | No (Liner is required) |  |
| 9 Filter media specification |  |  |
| Filtration media | Sandy clay loam |  |
| Transition layer | Coarse sand |  |
| Drainage layer | Fine gravel |  |
| 10 Plant selection | Carex Appressa |  |

1.4.2. Bioretention basin 1- MUSIC output


Figure 264: Catchment properties


Figure 265: Bioretention basin1 properties


Figure 266: TSS concentration vs. time (Daily)


Figure 267: TSS concentration vs. time (Hourly)


Figure 268: TSS concentration vs. time (6 minute)


Figure 269: TP concentration vs. time (Daily)


Figure 270: TP concentration vs. time (hourly)


Figure 271: TP concentration vs. time ( 6 minute)


Figure 272: TN concentration vs. time (Daily)


Figure 273: TN concentration vs. time (Hourly)


Figure 274: TN concentration vs. time ( 6 minute)


Figure 275: Flow vs. time (Daily)


Figure 276: Flow vs. time (Hourly)


Figure 277: Flow vs. time (6 Minute)

### 1.5. Bioretention Basin 2 Design Calculations (Optional design)

### 1.5.1. Concept design

The Bioretention basins are designed according to the following criteria:

- Bioretention basin 2 area of $105 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$;
- Maximum width of the Bioretention basin is to be 3 m ;
- Extended detention depth is 200 mm . $(100 \mathrm{~m}-300 \mathrm{~mm})$;
- Filter media shall be a sandy clay loam;
- Coarse sediment forebay is needed as no space is allowed for grass buffer.


### 1.5.2. Site characteristics

The site characteristics of the Bioretention basin are:

- Urban, paved roads and footpaths;
- Typical overland flow slope of $1 \%$;
- Red brown earth and alluvia soil (sandy loam to light clay loam) are dominated in design project;
- Catchment area: Roads, 0.2968ha; footpath, 0.1272 ha;
- Fraction impervious is: road and footpath, 0.9.


### 1.5.3. Confirm size for treatment

The MUSIC model is used to check if the concept Bioretention area is able to reach treatment target. More input and output information is provided in 1.5.11.

The contributing area for bioretention area is 0.424 ha. By adjusting filter material depth and extended detention depth the results show $105 \mathrm{~m}^{2}, 35 \mathrm{~m} \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$, rectengular bioretention basin with 0.3 m sandy clay filter material and 0.2 m pounding depth. The reduction can reach $96.7 \%$, 45.8\% and 74.7\% for TSS, TP and TN.


Figure 278: MUSIC modelling input for Bioretention basin 1

Mean Annual Loads - Bioretention2

| Flow (ML/yr) | Inflow | Outflow | \% Reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Flow (m3/s) | 1.15 | 0.948 | 17.3 |
| Total Suspended Solids (kg/yr) | 181 | $67.9 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $47.0 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| Total Phosphorus (kg/yr) | 0.406 | 0.08 | 90.9 |
| Total Nitrogen (kg/yr) | 3.02 | 0.762 | 74.7 |
| Gross Pollutants (kg/yr) | 57.4 | 0.00 | 100.0 |



Figure 279: Mean annual loads output for Bioretention basin 2

The modelling indicates that all reduction of pollutants reached design water treatment objectives.

### 1.5.4. Estimating design flows

### 1.5.4.1. Design Parameters

- The minor and major storm requirements will be same as for the Bioretention system 1 design.
- Travel time will be 5 min as for the standard paved areas.
- The rainfall intensity (I) for 1 in 5 years is $83.6 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}$ and for 1 in 100 years is $182 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}$.
- Runoff coefficients for 1 in 5 years and 1 in 100 years is shown below.

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{5}=F_{5} C_{10}=0.95 \times 0.9=0.855 \\
C_{100}=F_{100} C_{100}=1.2 \times 0.9=1.08
\end{gathered}
$$

### 1.5.4.2. Peak design flows

Based on previously mentioned data, the flow rates for the two sub-catchments were calculated using the equation mentioned below.

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q=\frac{C I A}{360} \\
A=0.420 \\
Q_{5}=0.084 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s} \\
Q_{100}=0.232 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
\end{gathered}
$$

### 1.5.5. Maximum infiltration rate

The maximum infiltration rate represents the design flow for underdrainage system. The capacity of the under drains needs to be greater than the maximum infiltration rate to ensure the filter media drains freely and does not become a 'choke' in the system. (WSUD Engineering Procedure)

A maximum infiltration rate $\left(Q_{\max }\right)$ can be estimated by applying Darcy's equation:

$$
Q_{\max }=K L W_{\text {base }} \frac{h_{\max }+d}{d}=0.0014 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
$$

Where $k=$ the conductivity of the soil filter ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ )

W=the average width of the ponded cross section above the sand filter (m)
$\mathrm{L}=$ the length of the bioretention zone (m)
$h_{\max }=$ depth of podding above the soil filter (m) , d=depth of filter media (m)

### 1.5.6. Inlet details

### 1.5.6.1. Coarse sediment forebay

Clogging with sediment and oil can occur during construction or after long-term use. The construction process, pre-treatment techniques, and maintenance requirements should be designed to minimise clogging.

Considering there is no space for installation of vegetation swale or buffer, coarse sediment forebay is designed and located near the inlet of bioretention basin as a runoff pre-treatment. This sediment may smother vegetation and reduce infiltration to the filter media. The forebay should be designed to remove particles that are 1 mm or greater in diameter from the minor ARI storm event and provide appropriate storage for coarse sediment to ensure desilting is required no more than once per year.

$$
V_{s}=A_{c} R L_{0} F_{c}
$$

Where $A_{c}=$ Area of catchment

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=\text { capture efficiency (Assume } 80 \%) \\
& F_{c}=\text { cdesired lean frequency (Assume } 1 \text { year) } \\
& L_{0}=\text { sediment loading rate }\left(1.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}\right. \text { for developed area) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Required volume of forebay sediment storage $V_{s 2}=0.271 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$,

Where depth of sediment forebay $D=0.5 m$ (max $=$ filter depth $+0.3 m=0.8 m$ )

$$
A_{s 2}=1.2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
$$

Check:

$$
R=1-\left[1+\frac{1}{n} \frac{V_{s}}{\frac{Q}{A}}\right]^{-n}
$$

Where $n=1.35$ (using $\lambda=0.26$ )

$$
V_{S}=\text { setting velocity } 0.1
$$

When $A_{s 2}=3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}, R_{2}=82.5 \%>R=80 \%$

Hence, design $A_{s 2}=3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, size of coarse sediment forebay: $\mathrm{L} \times W=3 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~d}=0.5 \mathrm{~m}$

### 1.5.6.2. Flow width at entry

Minor runoff event 5 yr . ARI is checked in the entry design. Assume footpath and gutter longitudinal gradient $S=1 \%$. Queensland urban drainage manual (QUDM 2013) is used for checking the flow capacity under minor event design flow $Q_{5}$. Manning's equation (assume uniform flow) is used to estimate depth at design flow.

$$
Q=\frac{1}{n} R^{\frac{1}{2}} S^{\frac{1}{2}}, n=0.3 \text { (urban) },
$$

$Q_{5}=0.084 m^{3} / s$, Depth of flow $=0.276 \mathrm{~m}$

Width of flow $=3.5 \mathrm{~m}$, velocity $=0.383 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$

### 1.5.6.3. Inlet opening at entry

As both bioretention basins are set between carpark and footpath, inlets are set both above the ground and under pavement to allow all runoff from road and footpath to enter freely into basins. Length of inlet opening is calculated using broad-crested weir flow conditions.

$$
Q_{5}=C L H^{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow L=\frac{Q}{C H^{3}}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{C}=1.7$ (weir flow coefficient)
$H=$ flow depth from previous section.
$Q_{5}=0.084 m^{3} / s$, Depth of flow $=0.276 \mathrm{~m}$ use $\mathrm{H}=0.28 \mathrm{~m}$

Therefore, $\mathrm{L}=0.342 \mathrm{~m}$

Hence, a 0.35 m -wide opening are adopted for bioretention basin 2 at the inlet under footpath.

### 1.5.7. Vegetation scour velocity check

Assume $Q_{5}$ and $Q_{100}$ will be conveyed through the bioretention basins. Check for scouring of vegetation by checking that velocities are below $0.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ during $Q_{5}$ and $1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ during $Q_{100}$.

Area $=$ width $\times$ detention depth $=3 \times 0.2=0.6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{5 \text { average }}=\frac{Q_{5}}{A}=0140 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \\
V_{100 \text { average }}=\frac{Q_{100}}{A}=0.386 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, $V_{\text {ave } 5}<0.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ (OK), $V_{\text {ave } 100}<1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{OK})$.

### 1.5.8. Sizing of perforated collection pipes

### 1.5.8.1 Perforations inflow check

Estimate the inlet capacity of sub-surface drainage system (perforated pipe) to ensure it is not a choke in the system.

Head $=$ filter + ponding depth+ transition+ drainage+ 0.5pipe diameter

The following are the characteristics of selected slotted pipe

Clear opening $=2100 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$

Slot width $=1.5 \mathrm{~m}$

Slot Length $=7.5 \mathrm{~m}$

No. of rows, 6

Pipe diameter $=100 \mathrm{~mm}$

Orifice flow condition occurs when the inlet pit is completely submerged (corresponding to conditions associated with larger flood events), that is:

$$
Q_{\text {Pref }}=B C_{d} A \sqrt{2 g h}
$$

Where $C_{d}=$ orifice discharge coefficient (0.6)
$B=0.5$ (50\% of the holes are blocked)
$H=$ depth of water above the centroid of the orifice (m)
$\mathrm{A}_{0}=$ orifice area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
$g=$ acceleration due to gravity $\left(9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{H}=0.2+0.3+0.1+0.2+0.05=0.85 \mathrm{~m}$
$Q_{\text {Pref }}=0.00257 \mathrm{~m}^{3}>Q_{\text {infiltration }}=0.0014 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$,

Therefore, both tank $Q_{\text {Pref }}>Q_{\text {infitration }}(O K)$

One pipe with $\mathrm{Dia}=100 \mathrm{~mm}$ is satisfied.

### 1.5.8.2. Perforated pipe capacity

The Colebrook-White equation is applied to estimate the flow rate in the perforated pipe.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{\text {pipe }}=\left[-2\left(\sqrt{(2 g D)) S_{1} \log _{10}\left[\frac{k}{3.7 D}+\frac{25 v}{P\left(\sqrt{\left.2 g D S_{1]}\right)}\right.}\right] A=0.00267 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s},}\right.\right. \\
& \text { Where, } A=\frac{\pi}{4} D^{2}=0.00784 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} \\
& \qquad k=0.007 \mathrm{~m} \text { (Assumption) } \\
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
v & =10^{-6} \\
& \text { pipe slop }=0.5 \% \\
g & =9.81
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

The capacity of this pipe is $Q_{\text {pipe }}=0.00267 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$, which exceeds the maximum infiltration rate of bioretention basin 2 . Hence, $1 \phi 100$ pipe is adopted for perforated collection for the bioretention system.

### 1.5.8.3. Drainage layer hydraulic conductivity

A drainage layer is also required to convey treated water from the base of the filter media or saturated zone into the perforated under-drains. In this project, 200 mm fine gravel drainage layer is used. A 100 mm coarse sand transition layer is used surrounding the perforated underdrains to reduce the risk of washing the filtration later into the perforated pipe.

### 1.5.8.4. Impervious liner requirement

Impervious liners can prevent export of water from bioretention basin into sensitive surroundings. It is used when the hydraulic conductivity ratio of filter material and surrounding soil is smaller than 10 times. Considering the geometry profile of project area, native soil are red brown earth and alluvia soil (soil types are sandy loam to light clay loam) with a hydraulic conductivity of $5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. The selected filter material is sandy clay loam whose hydraulic conductivity is $1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. Therefore, the conductivity of the filter materials is 5 times the conductivity of the surrounding soil of the project. Hence impervious liners are required for both bioretention basin.

### 1.5.9. High-flow route and bypass design

Overflow ( 5 yr . ARI) is either conveyed by the road reserve or by connecting to an underground drainage system. To determine a grated overflow, the broad crested weir equation is used to determine the length of weir required and the orifice equation to estimate the area of opening required.

Free overfall conditions:

$$
Q_{5}=C L H^{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow L=\frac{Q_{5}}{C H^{3}}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{C}=$ weir flow coefficient (1.7)
$H=$ head above weir crest ( 0.1 m )

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =1.705 \mathrm{~m} \\
\frac{1}{4} L & =0.426 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Drowned outlet conditions:

$$
Q_{5}=B C_{d} A \sqrt{2 g h} \rightarrow A_{0}=\frac{Q_{5}}{B C_{d} \sqrt{2 g h}}
$$

Where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ orifice discharge coefficient (0.6)
$B=0.5$ (50\% of the holes are blocked)
$H=$ depth of water above the centroid of the orifice ( 0.18 m )
$\mathrm{A}_{0}=$ orifice area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{g}=$ acceleration due to gravity $\left(9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{02}=0.082 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
& \sqrt{A_{0_{2}}}=0.572 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Drowned outlet conditions dominate, therefore a $600 \mathrm{~mm} \times 600 \mathrm{~mm}$ grated pits are adopted for both Bioretention basins.
1.5.10. Calculation summary

Table 96: Bioretention basin 2 calculation summary

| Bioretention basin 2 <br> Calculation task | Outcome | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Identify design criteria |  |  |
| Conveyance flow standard ARI | 5 | year |
| Area of bioretention | 105 | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Max extended retention depth | 200 | mm |
| Filter media type | 36 | $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| 2 Catchment characteristics |  |  |
| Road area | 2968 | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Footpath area | 1272 | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Fraction impervious |  |  |
| Road and footpath | 0.9 |  |
| 3 Estimate design flow rates |  |  |
| Time of concentration | 5 | min |
| Identify rainfall intensities |  |  |
| Station used for IFD data | Kent Town, Adelaide |  |
| 100 yr. ARI | 182 | $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| 5 yr ARI | 83.6 | $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Peak design flows |  |  |
| Q | 0.084 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{100}$ | 0.232 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {infiltration }}$ | 0.0014 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| 4 Perforated collection pipe capacity |  |  |
| Pipe diameter | 100 | mm |
| Number of pipes | 1 |  |
| Pipe capacity | 0.00267 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Capacity of perforations | 0.00257 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Soil media infiltration capacity | 0.0014 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Check pipe capacity > Soil capacity | YES |  |
| 5 Inlet opening width |  |  |
| Width of opening for inflows | 0.35 | m |


| Coarse sediment removal | Forebay |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Coarse sediment removal area | 3 | $\mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Coarse sediment removal depth | 0.5 | m |
| Coarse sediment clean-out frequency | 1 | year |
| 7 over flow system |  |  |
| System to convey minor floods | Grated pit |  |
| N Surrounding soil check | Filter media | 300x600 |

1.5.11. Bioretention basin 2 - MUSIC output


Figure 280: Bioretention basin1 properties


Figure 281: TSS concentration vs. time (Daily)


Figure 282:TSS concentration vs. time (Hourly)


Figure 283:TSS concentration vs. time ( 6 minute)


Figure 284:TP concentration vs. time (Daily)


Figure 285:TP concentration vs. time (hourly)


Figure 286: TP concentration vs. time (6 minute)


Figure 287: TN concentration vs. time (Daily)


Figure 288:TN concentration vs. time (Hourly)


Figure 289: TN concentration vs time ( 6 minute)


Figure 290:Flow vs. time (Daily)


Figure 291: Flow vs. time (Hourly)


Figure 292: Flow vs. time (6 Minute)

## 2. Appendix 2

### 2.1. Gross Pollutant Trap during Construction Inspection Checklist

GROSS POLLUTANT TRAP CONSTRUCTION INSPECTION CHECKLIST (DURING CONSTRUCTION PHASE)

| Project Name | Project ID | Inspection Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |


| Items Inspected |  | Completed <br> (please tick) | Satisfactory <br> (Y/N) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Preliminary Works |  |  |  |
| Traffic and safety control measures in place |  |  |  |
| The location reflects plans |  |  |  |
| The site is protected from existing stormwater flows |  |  |  |
| Earthworks |  |  |  |
| Excavation carried out reflects plans and design drawings |  |  |  |
| Pre-treatment |  |  |  |
| The catchment contributing to GPT is stabilised appropriately |  |  |  |
| The catchment contributing to GPT is not collecting excess rubbish/sediments |  |  |  |
| Structural Components |  |  |  |
| The location and levels of both inflow and outflow pipes reflect plans |  |  |  |
| The pipe connections reflect plans |  |  |  |
| The concrete components reflect plans |  |  |  |
| Sediment and Erosion Control |  |  |  |
| Appropriate stabilisation is implemented following earthworks |  |  |  |
| If appropriate, temporary protection is in place |  |  |  |
| Operation Establishment |  |  |  |
| Temporary protection equipment removed |  |  |  |
| Diversion for GPT removed |  |  |  |


| INSPECTOR DETAILS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name |  |
| Date of visit |  |
| Time of visit |  |
| Signature |  |
| Notes and Comments |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### 2.2. Gross Pollutant Trap Post Construction Inspection Checklist

GROSS POLLUTANT TRAP CONSTRUCTION INSPECTION CHECKLIST (POST CONSTRUCTION PHASE)

| Project Name | Project ID | Inspection Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |


| Items Inspected | Checked <br> (please tick) | Satisfactory <br> (Y/N) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Levels of inlet and outlet conform to plans and drawings |  |  |
| Traffic control measures in place |  |  |
| Dimensions of structural components reflect plans and drawings |  |  |
| Access provided for maintenance and inspections |  |  |
| Any sediment and debris generated during construction has been removed |  |  |


| INSPECTOR DETAILS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name |  |
| Date of visit |  |
| Time of visit |  |
| Signature |  |
| Notes and Comments |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### 2.3. Gross Pollutant Trap Maintenance Checklist

| Asset ID | GPT Location | Inspection Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |


| Please Tick | Inspection Type | Maintenance Requirements | Checked <br> (Please Tick) | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Routine Inspection (once per month) | Amount of debris removed by GPT |  | \% |
|  |  | Is trash rack is 50\% blocked? If so clean out required |  |  |
|  |  | Is there any visible damage to the GPT? (If yes, report in notes) |  |  |
|  | Routine Clean Out <br> (a minimum of 8 <br> times per annum) | Volume of debris and sediments removed |  | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
|  |  | Is there any visible damage to the GPT? (If yes, report in notes) |  |  |
|  | Annual Inspection | Is there any visible damage to the GPT? (If yes, report in notes) |  |  |
|  |  | Is water testing required? |  |  |


| Component Condition | Checked (Plesse Tick) | Condition | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Inlet |  |  |  |
| Outlet |  |  |  |
| Concrete Walls |  |  |  |
| Trash Rack |  |  |  |
| Removable Lids |  |  |  |


| CONTRACTOR DETAILS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name |  |
| Job Title |  |
| Organisation |  |
| Date |  |
| Signature |  |
| Notes |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

FUTURE

- consulting


## 3. Appendix 3

| Client | Tonkin Consulting | Date: $1 / 06 / 2015$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Project | North Terrace Drainage System | Pages:448-451 |
| Subject |  <br> retaining wall) | By: David Argent |
| Reviewed By: | David Argent | Date: $1 / 06 / 2015$ |
| Approved By: | Eriny Abdelraouf | Date: $7 / 06 / 2015$ |

### 3.1. Pipe Class Example Calculation

At chainage 20, a 750 mm internal diameter pipe is to be laid in a single trench, beneath North Terrace, a major arterial road. The trench will be excavated in silty slay, which according to the DPTI will not satisfy the requirements for backfilling. The backfilling will consist of quarry sand type C (Sa-C), to a modified compaction level of $95 \%$, in lifts of no larger than 200 mm , which is assumed to have $\Phi=33^{\circ}$, and a modified compaction density of $20 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. The pipe invert level is 2.25 metres below the road surface level, requires a HS2 support condition, what pipe class is required?

### 3.1.1. Calculations

### 3.1.1.1. Working Load due to fill

The outside diameter ( $D$ ) of the pipe has been found to be 870 mm . From figure 12 of AS 3725, the minimum width of the trench $(B)=D+D / 6+D / 6$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Width of trench } \left.B=870+\left(\frac{870}{6}\right)+\left(\frac{870}{6}\right)=1160 \mathrm{~mm} \text { (Adopt } 1300 \mathrm{~mm} \text { wide trench }\right) \\
& \text { Depth of fill } H=2.25-0.525=1.725 \mathrm{~m} \text { of } S a-C \text { and } 0.525 \mathrm{~m} \text { pavement }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{H}{B}=\frac{1.725}{1.30}=1.327 \\
C_{t}=\frac{1-e^{-2(0.1914)(1.487)}}{2(0.1914)}=1.293
\end{gathered}
$$

## Using Equation 13;

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{g}=1.293(20)(1.2)^{2}=37.7 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{mor} ; \\
W_{g}={C^{\prime}}^{\prime}{ }_{e} w D H
\end{gathered}
$$

## Equation 21

The projection ratio , $p=H / D=2.59$ and $r_{s} p=0.7$ (figure 7 from AS 3725), and $C^{\prime}{ }_{e}=1.553$

$$
W_{g}=1.553(16)(0.87)(2.25)=48.64 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}
$$

FUTURE

- consulting

The convention for design loads is to take the smaller of the two values, thus

$$
W_{g}=37.7 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}
$$

### 3.1.1.2. Working load due to vehicle load

Since $H$ is greater than 0.4 metres, the intensity of the live load is taken from Table B2, Appendix 2 of AS 3725 (2007), which gives $q=21 k P a$ (depth to crown of 1.4 m ) for the critical value which is for a M1600 wheel load (All other values are below this).

From Clause 6.5.3.2 (Figure 293) and the data in Commentary Paragraph C6.5.3.2 the base of the load prism has dimensions;

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{2}=a+1.45 \mathrm{H}=0.2+1.45(1.725)=2.7 \mathrm{~m} \\
L_{1}=b+1.45 \mathrm{H}=0.5+1.45(1.725)=3.0 \mathrm{~m} \\
L_{e}=3.0+(1.45+0.75(0.87))=5.10 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

From Clause 6.5.3.4;

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{q}=q L_{1} S / L_{e} \\
W_{q}=21(3.0)(0.87) / 5.1=10.7 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\text { Equation } 22
$$



Figure 293: Dimensions used for M1600 wheel load as per Cl 6.5.3.2 of AS 3725 :2007

### 3.1.1.3. Test Loads

To determine the pipe's external load carrying capacity, when not subjected to internal pressure(as in stormwater pipes) is determined on the basis of performance of sample pipes that have undergone load tests (Standards Australia 2007).

From table 4 (AS 3725 (2007)) for support type HS2, the bedding factor (F) is 2.5. The long term design load $\left(T_{c}\right)$ is calculated from the following equation;

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{c}=W_{g} / F+\sum W_{q} / F_{q} \\
T_{c} \geq 37.7 / 2.5+10.7 / 1.5 \geq 22.2 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

Utilising the data from Table 2.1, for test loads on Humes Reinforced Concrete Pipes (2009), adopt;

## Class 2 750mm RCP RRJ

$$
\left(T_{c}=32 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}\right)
$$

### 3.2. Pavement Reinstatement Configuration

AC10 Wearing Course (Medium Duty
Mix) on tack coat (eg CRS60) applied at 0.2 to $0.3 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$.


Refer to Drawings for surfacing reinstatement width



Figure 294: Road Pavement Configuration for reinstatement of North Terrace, Kent Town (DPTI 2012)
3.3. Tank Design

| Client | Tonkin Consulting | Date: $1 / 06 / 2015$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Project | North Terrace Drainage System | Pages:452-458 |
| Subject | Tank Loadings and Calculations | By: Saeed Karevan |
| Reviewed By: | David Argent | Date: $1 / 06 / 2015$ |
| Approved By: | Eriny Abdelraouf | Date: 7/06/2015 |

### 3.3.1. Wind Load

For the wind load, the Australian Standard was used - Wind Actions (AS 1170.2:2011). There is no specific design for rainwater tank in this standard but it can be assume as normal structural element. The wind loading on the structure (Rainwater Tank) may often to three ultimate wind loads: an upwards wind action, downward wind action and side wind action. Therefore to analyse of this structure wind load is essential. The building is to be built in South Australia. The following calculations were determined based on Australian guidelines for wind actions which give the wind pressure on the structure.

### 3.3.1.1. Determining site wind speed

The building has an importance level of 2 because it has been designed as building element (Rainwater tank which will be resting on ground on the side of the house) for a normal construction which contains few number of people. Hence the annual probability of exceedance is 1:500 as the region doesn't experience cyclonic conditions. Therefore $V_{R}$ can then be found by inspecting table 3.1 (Australian Standards 2011) where $R$ is 500 therefore $V_{500}$ in region A is $45 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$.

- The site wind speed is given by $\mathrm{V}_{\text {sit, }, \beta}$ where $\beta$ is the direction for example North, North East, East, etc. The speed is then determined by finding the values of the multipliers in the equation.
- Wind forces in accordance with AS/NZS 1170.2: 2011 on the basis of terrain category 2. Terrain Height Multiplier $\mathrm{M}_{2}$, cat is determined by inspecting the surrounding terrain. As
the height of this building element is less than 5 m high therefore the terrain considered as a terrain category 2 for all eight directions.
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {sit, } \beta}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{z}, \text { cat }} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$

Site wind speed: $V_{s i t, \beta}=V_{R} M_{d}\left(M_{z, c a t} M_{S} M_{t}\right)$
$V_{R}=$ Regional wind speed, form table 3.1, region A1 (1170.2: 2011) for 500 year.

Regional wind speed: $V_{R}=500$ (Regional A1)

$$
V_{R}=\text { Wind speed }=45 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
$$

Table 97: Wind Direction Multipliers (Md)

| Direction | Multiplier |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | 0.90 |
| NE | 0.80 |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | 0.80 |
| $\mathbf{S E}$ | 0.80 |
| $\mathbf{S}$ | 0.85 |
| $\mathbf{S W}$ | 0.95 |
| $\mathbf{W}$ | 1.00 |
| NW | 0.95 |
| Any direction | 1.00 |

$\mathrm{V}_{\text {sit, } \beta}$ is then calculated by combining the multiplies and entering them in to the equation $\mathrm{V}_{\text {sit, } \beta}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{z}, \text { cat }} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$

## Table 98: Vsit, 8

|  | $\mathbf{V}_{\text {sit, } \beta}=\mathbf{V}_{R} M_{d}\left(M_{z, \text { cat }} M_{s} M_{t}\right)$ | Speed $\left(\mathrm{ms}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{V}_{\text {sit, } \mathbf{N}}$ | $=45 \times 0.9(0.91 \times 1 \times 1)$ | 36.9 |
| $\mathbf{V}_{\text {sit, } \mathbf{N E}}$ | $=45 \times 0.8(0.91 \times 1 \times 1)$ | 32.8 |
| $\mathbf{V}_{\text {sit, } \mathbf{E}}$ | $=45 \times 0.8(0.91 \times 1 \times 1)$ | 32.8 |
| $\mathbf{V}_{\text {sit, } \mathbf{~ S E}}$ | $=45 \times 0.8(0.91 \times 1 \times 1)$ | 32.8 |


| $\mathbf{V}_{\text {sit }, \mathbf{s}}$ | $=45 \times 0.85(0.91 \times 1 \times 1)$ | 34.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{V}_{\text {sit }, \text { sw }}$ | $=45 \times 0.85(0.91 \times 1 \times 1)$ | 34.8 |
| $\mathbf{V}_{\text {sit }, \mathbf{w}}$ | $=45 \times 1(0.91 \times 1 \times 1)$ | 41 |
| $\mathbf{V}_{\text {sit }, \mathbf{N W}}$ | $=45 \times 0.95(0.91 \times 1 \times 1)$ | 38.9 |

Table 99: Parameters to determine site wind speeds for 8 directions

| Direction | $V_{R}\left(\mathrm{~ms}^{-1}\right)$ | $M_{d}$ | $M_{2, \text { cat }}$ | $M_{s}$ | $M_{t}$ | $V_{\text {sit }}\left(\mathrm{ms}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N | 45 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 36.9 |
| NE | 45 | 0.80 | 0.91 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 32.8 |
| E | 45 | 0.80 | 0.91 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 32.8 |
| SE | 45 | 0.80 | 0.91 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 32.8 |
| S | 45 | 0.85 | 0.91 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 34.8 |
| SW | 45 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 34.8 |
| W | 45 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 41 |
| NW | 45 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 38.9 |

Where:
$M_{z, c a t}=$ Terrain /Height Multipliers, as the structure height is between 1.75 m to 2.3 m , for all directions with a height less than 5 m from table 4.1 (AS/NZS 1170.2: 2011) then:
$M_{Z, C a t}=0.91$
$M_{S}=$ Shielding multiplier which is a variable that changes depending on the section of the structure, (shielding is to be ignored, assumed)
$M_{S}=1.00$
$M_{t}=$ Topographic multiplier, because there are no shielding hill in the region, when $H / 2 L_{u}<$ $0.05, M_{h}=1.00$, then:
$M_{t}=1.00 \quad(\mathrm{AS} / \mathrm{NZS}$ 1170.2:2011)

$$
V_{\text {sit }, \beta}=45 \times 1.0 \times 0.91 \times 1.0 \times 1.0=40.95 \approx 41 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
$$

### 3.3.1.2. Wind pressure

$P=\left(0.5 \times \rho_{\text {air }}\right)\left(V_{\text {des, }, \theta}\right)^{2} \times C_{\text {fig }} \times C_{\text {dyn }}(A S / N Z S ~ 1170.2: 2011)$
$P=$ design wind pressure in Pascal's $\rho_{\text {air }}=$ density of air, equal to $1.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ $V_{\text {des }, \theta}=$ building orthogonal design wind speed $C_{f i g}=$ aerodynamic shape factor $C_{d y n}=$ dynamic response factor $\rho_{\text {air }}=1.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ $C_{d y n}=1$

### 3.3.1.3. External Pressures (West Wind, Windward side)

$V_{d e s, \theta}=41 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ (Critical wind)
$C_{f i g}=0.7$ (Australian Standard)
$P=\left(0.5 \times \rho_{\text {air }}\right)\left(V_{\text {des }, \theta}\right)^{2} \times C_{f i g} \times C_{d y n}$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{\text {air }} & =1.2 \\
V_{\text {des }} & =37.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \\
C_{\text {dyn }} & =1 \\
C_{\text {fig }} & =C_{p, e,} \times K_{a} \times K_{c} \times K_{L} \times K_{p} \\
& =0.7 \times 1 \times 0.8 \times 1 \times 1 \\
& =0.56
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{P}=0.5 \times 1.2 \times\left(41^{2}\right) \times 0.56 \times 1 \\
=537.6 \mathrm{~Pa}=0.538 \mathrm{kPa}
\end{array}
$$

3.3.1.4. Internal Pressures (West Wind, Windward side)
$P=0.5 \times \rho_{\text {air }} \times\left(V_{\text {des }}{ }^{2}\right) \times C_{\text {fig }} \times C_{\text {dyn }}$

Where:
$\rho_{\text {air }} \quad=1.2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V}_{\text {des }} & =41 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \\
\mathrm{C}_{\text {dyn }} & =1 \\
\mathrm{C}_{\text {fig }} & =\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{i}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}} \\
& =0 \times 0.8 \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore:

$$
P=0.5 \times 1.2 \times\left(41^{2}\right) \times 0 \times 1=0
$$

External Pressure - Internal Pressure $=537.6-0=537.8 \mathrm{~Pa}=0.538 \mathrm{kPa}$

### 3.3.1.5. Sample Calculation for lateral pressures

Sample calculation: for category 1 with 1750 mm
$P=\rho g h$
$P=\rho g z=1000\left(\frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{3}}\right) \times 9.8\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}\right) \times 1.75(\mathrm{~m})=17150\left(\frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{2}}\right)$ or $P a=17.15 \mathrm{kPa}$

### 3.3.1.6. Calculations for hoop stress and axial stress

Sample calculation:
4000L
$P=17.15 \mathrm{kPa}, \quad \mathrm{D}=1.830 \mathrm{~m}, \quad \mathrm{H}=1.750 \mathrm{~m}$
Shell \& bottom thickness $=2.36 \mathrm{~mm}=0.00236 \mathrm{~m}$

Inner diameter $=1.83-(2 \times 0.00236)=1.82528 \mathrm{~m}$

## For Shell and Bottom thickness:

Hoop stress $\sigma_{H}=\frac{P d}{2 t}=\frac{17.15 \times(1.82528)}{2 \times 0.00236}=6632.108 \mathrm{kPa} \approx 6632 \mathrm{kPa}$
Longitudinal or axial stress $\sigma_{L}=\frac{P d}{4 t}=\frac{17.15 \times(1.82528)}{4 \times 0.00236}=3316.05 \mathrm{kPa} \approx 3316 \mathrm{kPa}$
Therefore:

Hoop stress $\sigma_{H}=6.6 \mathrm{MPa}$

Axial stress $\sigma_{L}=3.3 \mathrm{MPa}$

5500L
$P=22.54 \mathrm{kPa}, \quad \mathrm{D}=1.800 \mathrm{~m}, \quad \mathrm{H}=2.3 \mathrm{~m}$
Shell thickness $=4.24 \mathrm{~mm}=0.00424 \mathrm{~m}$ and Bottom thickness $=6.09 \mathrm{~mm}=0.00609 \mathrm{~m}$ Inner diameter $=1.8-(2 \times 0.00424)=1.79152 \mathrm{~m}$

## For Shell thickness:

Hoop stress $\sigma_{H}=\frac{P d}{2 t}=\frac{22.54 \times(1.79152)}{2 \times 0.00424}=4761.89 \mathrm{kPa} \approx 4762 \mathrm{kPa}=4.76 \mathrm{MPa}$ Longitudinal or axial stress $\sigma_{L}=\frac{P d}{4 t}=\frac{22.54 \times 1.79152}{4 \times 0.00424}=2380.94 \mathrm{kPa} \approx 2.4 \mathrm{MPa}$

## For bottom thickness:

Hoop stress $\sigma_{H}=\frac{P d}{2 t}=\frac{22.54 \times(1.8-(2 \times 0.00609)}{2 \times 0.00609}=3308.49 \mathrm{kPa} \approx 3308 \mathrm{kPa}=3.3 \mathrm{MPa}$ 8000L
$\mathrm{P}=21.56 \mathrm{kPa}$,
$\mathrm{D}=2.35 \mathrm{~m}$,
$H=2.2 \mathrm{~m}$

Shell thickness $=4.24 \mathrm{~mm}=0.00424 \mathrm{~m}$ and Bottom thickness $=6.09 \mathrm{~mm}=0.00609 \mathrm{~m}$

## For Shell thickness:

Hoop stress $\sigma_{H}=\frac{P d}{2 t}=\frac{21.56 \times(2.35-(2 \times 0.00424))}{2 \times 0.00424}=5953.2 \mathrm{kPa} \approx 5953 \mathrm{kPa}=$ 5.95 MPa

Longitudinal or axial stress $\sigma_{L}=\frac{P d}{4 t}=\frac{21.56 \times(2.35-(2 \times 0.00424))}{4 \times 0.00424}=2069.1 \mathrm{kPa} \approx 2.1 \mathrm{MPa}$

## For bottom thickness:

Hoop stress $\sigma_{H}=\frac{P d}{2 t}=\frac{21.56 \times(2.35-(2 \times .00609))}{2 \times 0.00609}=4138.2 \mathrm{kPa} \approx 4138 \mathrm{kPa}=4.1 \mathrm{MPa}$ 9500L
$P=15.484 \mathrm{kPa}, \quad \mathrm{D}=3.09 \mathrm{~m}, \quad \mathrm{H}=1.58 \mathrm{~m}$

Shell thickness $=4.24 \mathrm{~mm}=0.00424 \mathrm{~m}$ and Bottom thickness $=6.09 \mathrm{~mm}=0.00609 \mathrm{~m}$

## For Shell thickness:

Hoop stress $\sigma_{H}=\frac{P d}{2 t}=\frac{15.484 \times(3.09-(2 \times 0.00424))}{2 \times 0.00424}=5626.68 \mathrm{kPa} \approx 5627 \mathrm{kPa}=$ 5.6 MPa

Longitudinal or axial stress $\quad \sigma_{L}=\frac{P d}{4 t}=\frac{15.484 \times(3.09-(2 \times 0.00424))}{4 \times 0.00424}=2813.3 \mathrm{kPa} \approx$ 2.8 MPa

## For bottom thickness:

Hoop stress $\sigma_{H}=\frac{P d}{2 t}=\frac{15.484 \times(3.09-(2 \times 0.00609))}{2 \times 0.00609}=3912.7 \mathrm{kPa} \approx 3913 \mathrm{kPa}=$ 3.9 MPa

### 3.3.2. Concrete Loads

## Category 1:

Volume $=4000 \mathrm{~L}$
Diameter $=1830 \mathrm{~mm}$
Height $=1750 \mathrm{~mm}$
The mass of the water $=4000 \mathrm{~kg}$

## Determine the weight of the steel tank

Calculate the surface area of the cylinder:

$$
\text { Area of the top and bottom }=\pi r^{2}
$$

Area of the side $=2 \pi h$
The formula is: $A=2 \pi r^{2}+2 \pi r h$
$r=(1830 / 2)-2.36=912.64 \mathrm{~mm}$
$A=2 \pi r^{2}+2 \pi r h=2 \times 3.14 \times(912.64)^{2}+2 \times 3.14 \times 912.64 \times 1750=15260599.5 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$

$$
A=15.26 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
$$

Calculating the weight of cylinder:

Area $\times$ thickness $\times$ density of steel $=15.26 \times 0.00236 \times 7850=282.71 \mathrm{~kg}$

## Category 2:

Volume = 5500 L

Diameter $=1800 \mathrm{~mm}$

Height $=2300 \mathrm{~mm}$

The mass of the water $=5500 \mathrm{~kg}$

Average thickness $=\frac{4.24+6.09+2.92}{3}=4.42 \mathrm{~mm}$

Inner radius $=895.58 \mathrm{~mm}$
$A=2 \pi r^{2}+2 \pi r h=2 \times 3.14 \times(895.58)^{2}+2 \times 3.14 \times 895.58 \times 2300=17972716.53 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
$A=17.97 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

Calculating the weight of cylinder:

Area $\times$ thickness $\times$ density of steel $=17.97 \times 0.00442 \times 7850=623.599 \mathrm{~kg}$

## Category 3:

Volume $=8000$ L

Diameter $=2350 \mathrm{~mm}$

Height $=2200 \mathrm{~mm}$

The mass of the water $=8000 \mathrm{~kg}$
Average thickness $=\frac{4.24+6.09+2.92}{3}=4.42 \mathrm{~mm}$

Inner radius $=1170.58 \mathrm{~mm}$
$A=2 \pi r^{2}+2 \pi r h=2 \times 3.14 \times(1170.58)^{2}+2 \times 3.14 \times 1170.58 \times 2200=24777950.6 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
$A=24.78 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

Calculating the weight of cylinder:

Area $\times$ thickness $\times$ density of steel $=24.78 \times 0.00442 \times 7850=859.79 \mathrm{~kg}$

## Category 4:

Volume $=9500$ L

Diameter $=3090$ mm

Height $=1580 \mathrm{~mm}$

The mass of the water = 9500 kg

Average thickness $=\frac{4.24+6.09+2.92}{3}=4.42 \mathrm{~mm}$

Inner radius $=1540.58 \mathrm{~mm}$
$A=2 \pi r^{2}+2 \pi r h=2 \times 3.14 \times(1540.58)^{2}+2 \times 3.14 \times 1540.58 \times 1580=30191119.7 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
$A=30.19 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

Calculating the weight of cylinder:

Area $\times$ thickness $\times$ density of steel $=30.19 \times 0.00442 \times 7850=1047.5 \mathrm{~kg}$

Determining ultimate load

## Sample calculations

## Category 1:

Point Load $\quad=4282.7(\mathrm{~kg}) \times 9.8\left(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)=41970.46 \mathrm{~N}=41.97 \mathrm{kN}$

The allowable bearing capacity must be a minimum of the rearranged formula of the general pressure equation i.e. Pressure = Force/Area, since both width and length are equal, it is assumed that area $=B^{2}$, and so the rearranged formula for minimum slab width and length (B) is calculated below and checked to the chosen slab dimensions.

## Pressure = Forces / Area

$$
B=\sqrt{\text { Force/Pressure }}
$$

The calculation for the total dead load is shown below

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Dead load }(G)=\text { water load }\left(G_{W}\right)+\operatorname{tank} \operatorname{load}\left(G_{T}\right)=42 \mathrm{kN} \\
\text { Slab self-weight }(S W)=2.00 \times 2.00 \times 0.1 \times 24\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)=9.6 \mathrm{KN} \\
\text { Total weight acting on soil }=42 \mathrm{kN}+9.6 \mathrm{KN}=51.6 \mathrm{kN}
\end{gathered}
$$

The allowable bearing pressure $=128 \mathrm{kPa}$

Slab dimensions $=2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.1 \mathrm{~m}$

The slab being designed for are both square in dimensions, therefore the area of the slab can be simplified to $B^{2}$, i.e. $B^{2}=L \times W$. in this case the formula is used to determine the required slab dimensions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Pressure = Forces / Area } \\
& B=\sqrt{\text { Force/Pressure }} \\
& B=\sqrt{\frac{51.6 \mathrm{kN}}{128 \mathrm{KPa}}}=0.64 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the allowable slab dimension, $B<2.0 \mathrm{~m}$, it is safe to assume that the chosen size for the slab is appropriate for the final design of the slab.

An excel spreadsheet is used to determine the allowable dimension (B) for every tank considered. The output of the results is shown in the table below.

Table 100 Calculation of allowable dimensions for every slab and tank configuration

| Dimension | Weight(kN) | Slab(kN) | Total G <br> (kN) | Allowable B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tank 1 | 42 | 9.6 | 51.6 | 0.63 |
| Tank 2 | 60 | 9.6 | 69.6 | 0.74 |
| Tank 3 | 87 | 9.6 | 96.6 | 0.87 |
| Tank 4 | 103 | 9.6 | 112.6 | 0.94 |

### 3.4. Design Loads for Brick Arch

| Client | Tonkin Consulting | Date: $1 / 06 / 2015$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Project | North Terrace Drainage System | Pages:462-479 |
| Subject | Vertical \& Horizontal Loads on Sandstone Arch | By: Jeremy Bemmerl |
| Reviewed By: | David Argent | Date: $1 / 06 / 2015$ |
| Approved By: | Eriny Abdelraouf | Date: $7 / 06 / 2015$ |

3.4.1.1. Vertical Pressures - Traffic Loads

The sandstone arch culvert is located directly underneath North Terrace which is a main arterial route into the city. Traffic loads on the culvert will be determined in conjunction with the following standards:

- AS 5100.2 - 2004 Bridge Design Part 2: Design Loads
- AS1597.2 - 2013 Precast Reinforced Concrete Box Culverts

The standard traffic loads on the arch culvert compromise of;

- W80 wheel
- A160 axle, and
- M1600 tri-axle

It has been assumed that the traffic loads will spread on a 1:1 slope throughout the soil.

## W80 Wheel Load

Figure 295 is the loading diagram of the W80 wheel load. This load combination allows a point load to be applied at any location $(x, y)$ along the width or length of the road to create the most adverse or critical effect on the structure. The point load is applied through an initial load area of 250 mm by 400 mm .


ELEVATION


Figure 295:W80 Load Diagram

## Load Case 1

The loading path throughout the soil for a point load applied directly above the brick arch can be identified in Figure 296 which shows the dimensions of the load width at points $A$ and B. As the load path does not affect points C, D and E, these locations will not be evaluated for traffic loadings.


Figure 296: W80 Load Distribution - Case 1

## Sample Calculations - Vertical Stress at Point B:

Referring to the dimensions above the pressure at the arch's crest from W80 wheel load can be calculated. The load area will need to be determined at point B longitudinally and laterally along the arch culvert.
$\sigma_{v}=\frac{P}{A}$
$A=($ Longitudinal Load Width $) *($ Lateral Load Width $)$
$A=(0.4+0.816+0.816) *(0.214+0.214+1.475)=3.86 m^{2}$
$\sigma_{v}=\frac{P}{A}=\frac{80}{3.86}=21 \mathrm{kPa}$

## Results:

As seen below in Table 101 are the vertical pressures for each designated location along the arch.

Table 101: W80 Vertical Pressures - Case 1

| Location | Depth (mm) | Longitudinal Load <br> Width (mm) | Lateral Load <br> Width (mm) | Vertical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 710 | 1820 | 1670 | 26 |
| B | 816 | 2032 | 1903 | 21 |
| C | 1127 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 |
| D | 1615 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 |
| E | 2240 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 |

## Load Case 2

The load path throughout the soil for a point load applied directly above point B can be identified in Figure 297 which shows the dimensions of the load width at points $A, B, C, D$ and $E$. As the load has been applied asymmetrically to determine the difference in pressures.
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Figure 297: W80 Load Distribution - Case 2

The vertical pressures resulting from load case 2 can be seen in Table 102

Table 102: W80 Vertical Pressures - Case 2

| Location | Depth (mm) | Longitudinal Load <br> Width (mm) | Lateral Load <br> Width (mm) | Vertical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 710 | 1820 | 1670 |
| A | 816 | 2032 | 1789 | 26 |
| B | 1127 | 2654 | 2171 | 22 |
| C | 1615 | 3630 | 2848 | 14 |
| D | 2240 | 4880 | 3824 | 8 |
| E |  |  |  | 4 |

## A160 Axle Load

The A160 axle loading configuration can be seen below in Figure 298 shows the A160 axle load configuration, which allows for a 160 KN point load to be distributed over a single axle. This is also equivalent to two 80 KN point loads located 2000mm apart. The longitudinal interaction between these loads will need to be accounted for and may reduce the load area significantly.


## ELEVATION



PLAN

Figure 298: A160 Load Diagram (Standards Australia 2007)

As seen in Figure 299 are the load paths of the two point's loads travelling longitudinally along the sandstone arch culvert. It can be seen that the load paths cross before point $B$, hence half the load width should not exceed 1000 mm for points $B, C, D$ and $E$. This is likely to increase the vertical pressure acting on the arch.


Figure 299: Longitudinal Load Path

## Load Case 1

The loading path throughout the soil for a point load applied directly above the brick arch can be identified in Figure 299 which is the same configuration as case 1 for the W80 wheel load however the longitudinal load widths will change during this calculation as seen below in Table 103

Table 103: A160 Vertical Pressure - Case 1

| Location | Depth (mm) | Longitudinal Load <br> Width (mm) | Lateral Load <br> Width (mm) | Vertical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 710 | 1820 | 1670 | 26 |
| B | 816 | 2016 | 1903 | 21 |
| C | 1127 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 |
| D | 1615 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 |
| E | 2240 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 |

## Load Case 2

The load path throughout the soil for a point load applied directly above point $B$ is the same configuration as case 2 for the W80 wheel load however the longitudinal load widths will change during this calculation as seen below in Table 104

Table 104: A160 Vertical Pressure - Case 2

| Location | Depth (mm) | Longitudinal Load <br> Width $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Lateral Load <br> Width (mm) | Vertical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1820 | 1670 | 26 |
| A | 710 | 2016 | 1789 | 22 |
| B | 1127 | 2327 | 2171 | 16 |
| C | 1615 | 2815 | 2848 | 10 |
| D | 2240 | 3440 | 3824 | 6 |
| E |  |  |  |  |

As seen in the above analysis, the loading has increased by 2 kPa for points $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ and E within case 2. As the configuration within the M1600 tri-axle loadings are similar to the A160 axle loadings, the longitudinal spacing as seen above will be used for the M1600 load class.

## M1600 Tri-axle Load

According to AS 1597.2, the M1600 tri-axle loading class will need to be evaluated excluding the uniformly distributed loadings which can be seen in Figure 300 Hence the M1600 tri-axle loading will represent three point loads with a magnitude of 60 KN spaced at 1.25 m . The starting load area will be 200 mm by 400 mm .


Figure 300: M1600 Load Diagram (Standards Australia 2007)

## Load Case 1

The M1600 load path throughout the soil for a symmetrical condition can be identified in Figure 301. As the loading paths connect, this will be taken as a vertical line with the outermost load paths following the slope and increasing the load area with depth.


Figure 301: M1600 Load Distribution - Case 1

Table 105: M1600 Vertical Pressures - Case 1

| Location | Depth (mm) | Longitudinal Load <br> Width (mm) | Lateral Load <br> Width (mm) | Vertical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1820 | 1250 | 26 |
| A | 710 | 216 | 2016 | 1545 |
| B | 1127 | 2327 | 1927 | 19 |
| C | 1615 | 2815 | 2604 | 13 |
| D | 2240 | 3440 | 3580 | 8 |
| E |  |  |  | 5 |

## Load Case 2

The M1600 load path throughout the soil for the central point load located directly above point D can be identified in Figure 302 The interaction between the load paths will be the same as


Figure 302: M1600 Load Distribution - Case 2

Table 106: M1600 Vertical Pressures, Case 1

| Location | Depth (mm) | Longitudinal Load <br> Width (mm) | Lateral Load <br> Width (mm) | Vertical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 710 | 1820 | 1435 | 23 |
| B | 816 | 2016 | 1448 | 21 |
| C | 1127 | 2327 | 1264 | 20 |
| D | 1615 | 2815 | 1452 | 15 |
| E | 2240 | 3440 | 1803 | 10 |

AS1597.2 describes the process required to calculate the earth pressures acting on the surface of a culvert structure. The vertical and horizontal earth pressures will be evaluated in terms of the two soil compositions present below in Figure 303. The unit weight and horizontal pressure coefficient used will be the same for points $A, B$ and $C$ and will change for points $D$ and $E$ due to the change in soil composition at the RL of 35.97.


Figure 303: Geotechnical Model

The resultant vertical pressure acting at points $A, B, C, D$ and $E$ can be calculated using the vertical soil pressures and the live load surcharge. The soil strength properties are shown in Table 107

Table 107: Soil Strength Properties

| Depth | Soil | Unit Weight <br> $v(k N / m 3)$ | Undrained Shear <br> Strength <br> $C u(k P a)$ | Shear Strength <br> $C^{\prime}(k P a)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.0-1.25$ | Sandy gravelly <br> CLAY | 21.5 | 102 | $0-7$ |
| $1.25-4.5$ | Silty gravelly <br> SAND | 20 | 156 | $0-10$ |

Table 108: Soil Loads

| Location | Vertical Pressure (kPa) |
| :--- | :---: |
| A | $21.5 * 0.71=15$ |
| B | $21.5 * 0.861=19$ |
| C | $21.5 * 1.127=24$ |
| D | $21.5 * 1.25+20 * 0.365=34$ |
| E | $21.5 * 1.25+20 * 0.99=47$ |

3.4.1.3. Vertical Pressures - Resultant

The resultant vertical pressure can be calculated using the traffic loads and soil loads which were calculated in the previous sections. AS 1170.0 section 4.2 identifies an ultimate limit state for strength $(1.2 G+1.5 Q)$ which will require the traffic loads $(Q)$ and the soil loads $(G)$ to be factored accordingly.

W80 Case 1

|  |  |  | Resultant | Resultant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Traffic Load: Q | Soil Load: G | Un-factored Pressure: | Factored Pressure: |
|  | (kPa) | $(\mathrm{kPa})$ |  | G+Q |
| A | 26 | 15 | $41.2 \mathrm{kPa}+1.5 \mathrm{Q}$ |  |
| B | 21 | 19 | 40 | $(\mathrm{kPa})$ |


| C | 0.0 | 24 | 24 | 29 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D | 0.0 | 34 | 34 | 41 |
| E | 0.0 | 47 | 47 | 56 |

Table 109: W80 Resultant Vertical Loading - Case 1

## W80 Case 2

As this loading condition is an asymmetrical loading condition due to the traffic loads being applied off center to the arch, the vertical loading on each side of the arch's center will change as seen below in Table 110 and Table 111 Although certain loadings are designated to the right and left side of the arch this will likely change in the field due to the traffic directions and the location of the stormwater pipe.

Table 110: W80 Resultant Vertical Loading - Case 2 (Right Side)

|  |  |  | Resultant | Resultant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Traffic Load: Q | Soil Load: G | Un-factored Pressure: | Factored Pressure: |
|  | (kPa) | $(\mathrm{kPa})$ |  | G+Q <br> $(\mathrm{kPa})$ |
| A | 26 | 15 | 41 | $(\mathrm{kPa})$ |
| B | 22 | 19 | 41 | 57 |
| C | 14 | 24 | 38 | 56 |
| D | 8 | 34 | 42 | 50 |
| E | 4 | 47 | 51 | 53 |

Table 111: W80 Resultant Vertical Loading - Case 2 (Left Side)

| Location | Traffic Load: Q (kPa) | Soil Load: G (kPa) | Resultant <br> Un-factored Pressure: $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Q} \\ & (\mathrm{kPa}) \end{aligned}$ | Resultant Factored Pressure: $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \mathrm{G}+1.5 \mathrm{Q} \\ (\mathrm{kPa}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 26 | 15 | 41 | 57 |
| B | 0 | 19 | 19 | 23 |
| C | 0 | 24 | 24 | 29 |
| D | 0 | 34 | 34 | 41 |
| E | 0 | 47 | 47 | 56 |

## A160 Case 1

Table 112: A160 Resultant Vertical Loadings - Case 1

|  |  |  | Resultant | Resultant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Traffic Load: Q | Soil Load: G | Un-factored Pressure: | Factored Pressure: |
|  | $(\mathrm{kPa})$ | $(\mathrm{kPa})$ |  | G+Q <br> $(\mathrm{kPa})$ |
| A | 26 | 15 | 41 | $(\mathrm{kPa})$ |
| B | 21 | 19 | 40 | 57 |
| C | 0.0 | 24 | 24 | 54 |
| D | 0.0 | 34 | 34 | 30 |
| E | 0.0 | 47 | 47 | 41 |

## A160 Case 2

As this loading condition is asymmetrical the left and right side of the arch will be evaluated separately as seen below in Table 113 and Table 114

Table 113: A160 Resultant Vertical Loadings - Case 2(Right Side)


Table 114: A160 Resultant Vertical Loadings - Case 2 (Left Side)

| Location | Traffic Load: Q (kPa) | Soil Load: G (kPa) | Resultant Un-factored Pressure: $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Q} \\ & (\mathrm{kPa}) \end{aligned}$ | Resultant Factored Pressure: $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \mathrm{G}+1.5 \mathrm{Q} \\ (\mathrm{kPa}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 26 | 15 | 41 | 57 |
| B | 0 | 19 | 19 | 23 |
| C | 0 | 24 | 24 | 29 |
| D | 0 | 34 | 34 | 41 |
| E | 0 | 47 | 47 | 56 |

## M1600 Case 1

Table 115: M1600 Resultant Vertical Loadings - Case 1

|  |  |  | Resultant | Resultant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Traffic Load: Q | Soil Load: G | Un-factored Pressure: | Factored Pressure: |
|  | $(\mathrm{kPa})$ | $(\mathrm{kPa})$ |  | G+Q <br> $(\mathrm{kPa})$ |
| A | 29 | 15 | 44 | $(\mathrm{kPa})$ |
| B | 21 | 19 | 40 | 62 |
| C | 12 | 24 | 36 | 54 |
| D | 7 | 34 | 41 | 47 |
| E | 4 | 47 | 51 | 51 |
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## M1600 Case 2

As this loading condition is asymmetrical the left and right side of the arch will be evaluated separately as seen below in Table 116 and Table 117

Table 116: M1600 Resultant Vertical Loading - Case 2 (Right Side)

|  |  |  | Resultant | Resultant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Traffic Load: Q | Soil Load: G | Un-factored Pressure: | Factored Pressure: |
|  | (kPa) | $(\mathrm{kPa})$ |  | G+Q <br> $(\mathrm{kPa})$ |
| A | 25 | 15 | 40 | $(\mathrm{kPa})$ |
| B | 22 | 19 | $41.5 Q$ |  |
| C | 19 | 24 | 43 | 56 |
| D | 12 | 34 | 46 | 56 |
| E | 7 | 47 | 54 | 57 |

Table 117: M1600 Resultant Vertical Loading - Case 2(Left Side)

| Location | Traffic Load: Q (kPa) | Soil Load: G (kPa) | Resultant Un-factored Pressure: $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Q} \\ & (\mathrm{kPa}) \end{aligned}$ | Resultant Factored Pressure: $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \mathrm{G}+1.5 \mathrm{Q} \\ (\mathrm{kPa}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 25 | 15 | 40 | 56 |
| B | 0 | 19 | 19 | 23 |
| C | 0 | 24 | 24 | 29 |
| D | 0 | 34 | 34 | 41 |
| E | 0 | 47 | 47 | 56 |

## Evaluation

Hydro-Future has evaluated the traffic and soil loads on the brick arch to determine the maximum factored vertical pressure which may occur. A critical condition will need to be evaluated for all the loading combinations within case 1 and case 2 . The worst loading condition from case 1 and case 2 will be evaluated in terms of horizontal pressures.

## Case 1

It can clearly be identified (Figure 304) that M1600 will be the critical loading condition with the greatest vertical pressure at points $A, B, C, D$ and $E$.


Figure 304: Vertical Pressure Comparison - Case 1

## Case 2

As seen below in Figure 305 are the W80, A160 and M1600 factored vertical pressure diagrams for loading case 2 . It can clearly be identified that M1600 will be the critical loading condition with the greatest vertical pressure at points $B, C, D$ and $E$.


Figure 305: Vertical Pressure Comparison - Case 2orizontal Pressures

The earth pressure coefficient $\left(K_{a}\right)$ has been calculated for both soils layers and will be used to determine the horizontal earth pressures for points $A, B, C, D$ and $E$ as well as the pressure at the base of the arch. The resultant horizontal pressure can be calculated using the following equation:
$\rightarrow \sigma_{H}=K_{a} \sigma_{v}-2 c^{\prime} \sqrt{K_{a}}$

## Calculating $K_{a}$ :

Sandy Gravelly CLAY:
$K_{a}=\frac{1-\sin (\phi)}{1+\sin (\phi)}=\frac{1-\sin (17)}{1+\sin (17)}=0.42$

Silty Gravelly SAND:
$K_{a}=\frac{1-\sin (\phi)}{1+\sin (\phi)}=\frac{1-\sin (26)}{1+\sin (26)}=0.39$

## Case 1

The horizontal pressures acting on the arch will be evaluated for the M1600 tri-axle loading condition, which was deemed critical:
$\rightarrow \sigma_{H}=K_{a} \sigma_{v}-2 c^{\prime} \sqrt{K_{a}}$
$\rightarrow \sigma_{v}=1.2 *(47+0.6 * 20)+1.5 * 4=77 \mathrm{kPa}$
$\rightarrow \sigma_{H}=0.39 * 77-2 * 0 * \sqrt{0.42}=32 k P a$

Table 118: M1600 Resultant Horizontal Pressure - Case 1

| Location | $K_{a}$ | Resultant <br> Factored Vertical Pressure: $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \mathrm{G}+1.5 \mathrm{Q} \\ (\mathrm{kPa}) \end{gathered}$ | Resultant <br> Horizontal Pressure (kPa) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.42 | 62 | 26 |
| B | 0.42 | 54 | 23 |
| C | 0.42 | 47 | 20 |
| D | 0.39 | 51 | 20 |
| E | 0.39 | 62 | 24 |
| Base | 0.39 | 77 | 30 |

## Case 2

The horizontal pressures acting on the arch will be evaluated for the M1600 tri-axle loading condition, which was deemed critical. As this loading condition was asymmetrical, the horizontal pressures will vary from each side of the arch will need to be evaluated.

## Horizontal Stress at Base:

$\rightarrow \sigma_{H}=K_{a} \sigma_{v}-2 c^{\prime} \sqrt{K_{a}}$
$\rightarrow \sigma_{v}=1.2 *(47+0.6 * 20)+1.5 * 7=81 k P a$
$\rightarrow \sigma_{H}=0.39 * 81-2 * 0 * \sqrt{0.42}=32 k P a$

Table 119: M1600 Resultant Horizontal Pressure - Case 2(Right Side)

|  |  | Resultant <br> Factored Vertical Pressure: <br> $1.2 G+1.5 Q$ <br> $(k P a)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $K_{a}$ | Resultant <br> Horizontal Pressure |  |
| A | 0.42 | 56 | 24 |
| B | 0.42 | 56 | 24 |
| C | 0.42 | 57 | 24 |
| D | 0.39 | 59 | 23 |
| E | 0.39 | 67 | 26 |
| Base | 0.39 | 81 | 32 |

Horizontal Stress at Base:
$\rightarrow \sigma_{H}=K_{a} \sigma_{v}-2 c^{\prime} \sqrt{K_{a}}$
$\rightarrow \sigma_{v}=1.2 *(47+0.6 * 20)+1.5 * 0=71 \mathrm{kPa}$
$\rightarrow \sigma_{H}=0.39 * 71-2 * 0 * \sqrt{0.42}=32 \mathrm{kPa}$

|  |  | Resultant | Resultant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | $K_{a}$ | Factored Vertical Pressure: | Horizontal Pressure |
|  |  | $1.2 \mathrm{G}+1.5 \mathrm{Q}$ |  |
| $(\mathrm{kPa})$ | $(\mathrm{kPa})$ |  |  |
| A | 0.42 | 56 | 24 |
| B | 0.42 | 23 | 10 |


| C | 0.42 | 29 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | 0.39 | 41 | 16 |
| E | 0.39 | 56 | 22 |
| Base | 0.39 | 71 | 28 |

Table 120: M1600 Resultant Horizontal Pressure - Case 2 (Left Side)

### 3.5. Calculations for Reinforced Concrete

| Client | Tonkin Consulting | Date: $1 / 06 / 2015$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Project | North Terrace Drainage System | Pages: 483-513 |
| Subject | Reinforced Concrete Pipe Connection | By: Jeremy Bemmerl |
| Reviewed By: | David Argent | Date: $1 / 06 / 2015$ |
| Approved By: | Eriny Abdelraouf | Date: $7 / 06 / 2015$ |

### 3.5.1.1 Material Properties

## Compressive Strength

The design compressive strength of reinforced concrete section will comply with section 4.3 of AS 3600:

Exposure Classification $=B 1$ (Surfaces of members in water)
$\rightarrow f_{c}^{\prime}=40 \mathrm{MPa}$

Hence N40 normal - class concrete will be used throughout the reinforced concrete section as stated in section 1.3.8 of AS 1379.

## Reinforcement Cover

The minimum design reinforcement cover of the reinforced concrete section will comply with section 4.10.3 of AS 3600:

Exposure Classification $=B 1$, (Surface of Members in Water)
$f_{c}^{\prime}=40 M P a$
$\rightarrow$ Minimum Cover $=30 \mathrm{~mm}$, (Table 4.10.3.2)

## Wet Properties

The concrete slump at the time of pouring will be 110 mm to ensure adequate compaction, constructability and workability as in accordance with section 1.5.3.2 (b) of AS 1379. The specified slump of 110 mm will have allowable tolerances of $\pm 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ in accordance with section 5.1 of AS 1379 recorded using a slump test which will be carried out before the concrete pour in accordance with AS 1012.3.1

The maximum course aggregate size to be used within the concrete mixture will be equal to 20 mm (default value) as stated in section 1.5.3.2. (C) of AS 1397. For all reinforcement spacing
calculations the distance between compression, tension and shear steel will not be less than 30 mm to allow for tolerances within the aggregate sizes.

### 3.5.1.2. Column Design (A \& C)

The columns $A$ and $C$ will need to be designed to ensure they can withstand combined loadings, flexure and shear stresses which can be seen in the strand7 results.

## Combined Actions

Referring to Figure 136 and Figure 138 for case 1, and Figure 139 and Figure 141 for case 2, there is a combined action acting on the top of the columns in the form of a compressive axial force and a bending moment. Both these loads will need to be considered together to find the most adverse effect on the columns $A$ and $C$. The combined design actions can be seen below in Figure 306


Figure 306: Combined Actions - Case 1 \& 2

The design of a reinforced concrete column subject to bending and axial tension or compression will be designed in accordance with section 10 of AS 3600 . The failure modes as seen below will need to be determined using a column interaction diagram to check the capacity under combined loadings.

Failure Modes:

- $\quad N_{u o}$
- $M_{u o}$
- $\quad M_{u b} \& N_{u b}$
$-\quad M_{u} \& N_{u}$


## Minimum Bending Requirements

The minimum design bending moment about each principle axis will not be less than $N^{*} 0.05 D$ in accordance with section 10.1.2 of AS 3600.

Case $1 M^{*}{ }_{\text {min }}=(140)(0.05)(0.23)=1.16 \mathrm{kNm}$

Bending moment from Strand7 output is critical: $5.04>1.16$

Case $2 M^{*}{ }_{\text {min }}=(119)(0.05)(0.23)=1.37 \mathrm{kNm}$

Bending moment from Strand7 output is critical: $19.7>1.37$

## Minimum Reinforcement

The minimum reinforcement within a reinforced concrete column will not be less then $0.01 A_{g}$ in accordance with section 10.7 of AS 3600.

Minimum Reinforcement $=(0.01)(230)(230)=529 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$

According to the ARC Reinforcement Handbook, Adopt 4N16, Ast $=800 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$

## Ultimate Bending Capacity ( $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{o}}$ )

The bending strength of the column will be determined with the consideration that the ultimate axial compressive strength is equal to zero: $N_{u}=0$. As there is compression as well as tension steel the capacity of the compression steel will need to be taken into account. After several iteration the neutral axis was found to be located at 41.5 mm below the concrete compressive surface, hence the compressive steel $\left(A_{S c}\right)$ is assumed to be in tension as seen below in Figure 307.


Figure 307: Neutral Axis Depth

Check the strain in the steel:
$\frac{\varepsilon_{c}}{50-41.5}=\frac{0.003}{41.5}, \varepsilon_{c}=0.000614$
As $0.000614<0.0025$, compression steel is not at yield.
$\frac{\varepsilon_{t}}{138.5}=\frac{0.003}{41.5}, \varepsilon_{c}=0.01$
As $0.01>0.0025$, tension steel is at yield.

Assuming all tensile forces are equal to compressive forces, the compressive steel is in tension and the neutral axis is located at 41.5 mm below the compression flange:
$T=C$
$\rightarrow A_{s t} f_{s y}+A_{s c} E_{s} \varepsilon_{c}=\alpha_{2} f_{c}^{\prime} \gamma K_{u} d b$

The coefficients $\alpha_{2}$ and $\gamma$ will be calculated in accordance with section 8.1.3 of AS 3600 .
$\alpha_{2}=1.0-0.003 f_{c}^{\prime}, \quad 0.67 \leq \alpha_{2} \leq 0.85$
$\alpha_{2}=1.0-0.003 * 40=0.88=0.85$
$\gamma=1.05-0.007 f_{c}^{\prime}, \quad 0.67 \leq \gamma \leq 0.85$
$\gamma=1.05-0.007 * 40=0.77$
$\rightarrow(400)(500)+(400)\left(200 * 10^{3}\right)(0.000614)=(0.85)(40)(0.77)(41.5)(230)$
$\rightarrow 249.1 \approx 249.9$

Neutral axis is at 41.5, hence $K_{u} d=41.5$


Figure 308: Force Locations \& Moment Arms

Referring to Figure 308 the capacity of the column in pure bending can be calculated:
$M_{u o}=T_{s} Z_{c}+T_{c} Z_{s}$
$T_{S}=(400)(500)\left(10^{-3}\right)=200 \mathrm{kN}$
$T_{c}=(400)\left(200 * 10^{3}\right)(0.000614)\left(10^{-3}\right)=49.1 \mathrm{kN}$
$M_{\text {uo }}=(200)\left(10^{3}\right)(180-(0.5)(0.77)(41.5))+(49.1)\left(10^{3}\right)(50-(0.5)(0.77)(41.5))=34.5 \mathrm{kNm}$

## Balanced Failure ( $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{b}} \& \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{u b}}$ )

The balanced failure point occurs when a column can either fail in tension or compression based on the magnitude of the axial force and the bending moment. Balanced failure occurs when $K_{u b}=0.545$ :
$N A=K_{u b} d=(0.545)(180)=98.1 \mathrm{~mm}$

Hence the compressive steel is in compression as opposed to pure bending when it was subject to tension forces. The location of the new compressive and tensile strains can be seen below in


Figure 309: Balanced Failure Neutral Axis

Check strain in steel:
$\frac{\varepsilon_{c}}{98.1-50}=\frac{0.003}{98.1}, \varepsilon_{c}=0.00147$
As $0.00147<0.0025$, compression steel is not at yield.
$\frac{\varepsilon_{t}}{89.1}=\frac{0.003}{98.1}, \varepsilon_{c}=0.0027$

As $0.0027>0.0025$, tension steel is at yield.

Referring to Figure 309 the location of forces in which balanced failure will occur. As the compressive steel is not at yield, the compressive force will be calculated using the modulus of elasticity.


Figure 310: Balanced Failure - Forces
Referring to Figure 310 summing the forces in the x direction:
$\Sigma F_{x}=0$
$N_{u b}+T_{s}-C_{s}-C_{c}=0$
$N_{u b}=-A_{s t} f_{s y}+A_{s c} E_{s} \varepsilon_{c}+\alpha_{2} f_{c}^{\prime} \gamma K_{u} d b$
$N_{u b}=-(400)(500)+(400)\left(200 * 10^{3}\right)(0.00147)+(0.85)(40)(0.77)(0.545)(180)(230)=508 \mathrm{kN}$

Taking the moments about the tensile steel $\left(T_{S}\right)$ :
$-N_{u b} h_{u b}+C_{S}\left(Z_{s}\right)+C_{c} Z_{c}=0$
$\left.Z_{c}=d-0.5 \gamma K_{u} d=180-(0.5)(0.77)(98.1)\right)=142.2 \mathrm{~mm}$
$Z_{s}=d-d_{c}=180-50=130 \mathrm{~mm}$
$(582)\left(10^{3}\right)\left(h_{u b}\right)=(400)\left(200 * 10^{3}\right)(0.00147)(130)+(0.85)(40)(0.77)(0.545)(180)(230)(142.2)$
$h_{u b}=144.5 \mathrm{~mm}$
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Calculating $M_{u b}$ :
$M_{u b}=N_{u b} e_{b}$
$e_{b}=h_{u b}-v$
$v=$ distance from $T_{s}$ to column centroid $=180-\left(\frac{230}{2}\right)=65 \mathrm{~mm}$
$e_{b}=144.5-65=79.5 \mathrm{~mm}$
$M_{u b}=(508)\left(10^{3}\right)(79.5)=40.4 \mathrm{kNm}$
Decompression Point $\left(N_{u} \& M_{u}\right)$

The decompression point occurs when the neutral axis is located at the tensile steel indicating that $K_{u}=1$. The location of the new compressive and tensile strains can be seen in Figure 311


Figure 311: Decompression Point - Neutral Axis

Check strain in steel:
$\frac{\varepsilon_{c}}{180-50}=\frac{0.003}{180}, \varepsilon_{c}=0.00216$
As $0.00216<0.0025$, compression steel is not at yield.
$\varepsilon_{t}=0$, neutral axis at tension steel.


Figure 312: Decompression Point - Forces
Referring to Figure 312 summing the forces in the x direction:
$\Sigma F_{x}=0$ And $T_{s}=0$
$N_{u}-C_{s}-C_{c}=0$
$N_{u}=A_{s c} E_{s} \varepsilon_{c}+\alpha_{2} f_{c}^{\prime} \gamma K_{u} d b$
$N_{u}=(400)\left(200 * 10^{3}\right)(0.00216)+(0.85)(40)(0.77)(1)(180)(230)=1257 \mathrm{kN}$
Taking the moments about the tensile steel $\left(T_{S}\right)$ :
$-N_{u} h+C_{s}\left(Z_{s}\right)+C_{c} Z_{c}=0$
$\left.Z_{c}=d-0.5 \gamma K_{u} d=180-(0.5)(0.77)(180)\right)=110.7 \mathrm{~mm}$
$Z_{s}=d-d_{c}=180-50=130 \mathrm{~mm}$
$(1257)\left(10^{3}\right)(h)=(400)\left(200 * 10^{3}\right)(0.00216)(130)+(0.85)(40)(0.77)(1)(180)(230)(110.7)$
$h=113.3 \mathrm{~mm}$

Calculating $M_{u b}$ :
$M_{u}=N_{u} e_{b}$
$e_{b}=h-v$
$v=$ distance from $T_{s}$ to column centroid $=180-\left(\frac{230}{2}\right)=65 \mathrm{~mm}$
$e_{b}=113.3-65=48.3 \mathrm{~mm}$
$M_{u}=(1257)\left(10^{3}\right)(48.3)=60.7 \mathrm{kNm}$

## Squash Load ( $\boldsymbol{N}_{u o}$ )

The squash load will be calculated to understand the columns capacity to undergo pure axial compression force, within this calculation $M=0$.
$N_{u o}=\alpha_{1} f_{c}^{\prime} A_{g}+f_{s y} A_{s}$
$A_{g}=230 * 230=52900 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
$A_{s}=4 N 16=800 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$

The coefficient $\alpha_{1}$ will be calculated in accordance with section 10.6.2.2 of AS 3600.
$\alpha_{1}=1.0-0.003 f_{c}^{\prime}, \quad 0.72 \leq \alpha^{2} \leq 0.85$
$\alpha_{1}=1.0-0.003 * 40=0.88=0.85$
$N_{u o}=(0.85)(40)(52900)+(500)(800)=2199 k N$

## Interaction Diagram

To check the capacity of the column under combined actions the ultimate bending capacity, balance failure, decompression point and the squash load are required to be factored:

As $1348>582, N_{u}>N_{u b}$, hence $\phi=0.6$

## Squash Load:

$\phi N_{u o}=0.6 * 2199=1319 k N$

Ultimate Bending Capacity:
$\phi M_{u о}=0.6 * 34.5=20.7 \mathrm{kNm}$

## Balance Failure:

$\phi M_{u b}=0.6 * 40.4=24.2 \mathrm{kNm}$
$\phi N_{u b}=0.6 * 508=305 k N$

Decompression Point:
$\phi M_{u}=0.6 * 60.7=36.4 \mathrm{kNm}$
$\phi N_{u}=0.6 * 1257=754 k N$

As seen below in Figure 313 is the factored column interaction diagram which shows the capacity of columns $A$ and $C$ and the combined action effect of case 1 and case 2 . It can be seen that both cases fall within the diagram indicating the chosen design is sufficient.


Figure 313: Column Interaction Diagram

## Design for Flexure

The ultimate flexural strength of a concrete member in flexure will be design in accordance with section 8 of AS 3600. As these columns contain compression and tension steel the flexural design will be undertaken as a dual reinforced beam.
$M^{*}=19.7$ kNm , (Figure 139: BMD, Case 2)


Figure 314: Beam Cross Section

## Ultimate Bending Capacity ( $\phi \mathbf{M u}$ )

$M_{u}=\frac{M^{*}}{\phi}$

Where $\phi=0.8$ in accordance with Table 2.2.2 of AS 3600.
$M_{u}=\frac{19.7}{0.8}=24.6 \mathrm{kNm}$
Effective Depths $\left(\boldsymbol{d} \& \boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{c}}\right)$

The diameter of the ligatures will be assumed to be N12 in the effective depth calculation. An assumption that N12 steel reinforcing bars will be used.
$d=D-$ cover - ligature diamater $-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ bar diamater $=230-30-12-\left(\frac{16}{2}\right)=180 \mathrm{~mm}$
$d_{c}=$ cover + ligature diamater $+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ bar diamater $=30+12+\left(\frac{16}{2}\right)=50 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Equilibrium

As this is a dual reinforced beam the neutral axis depth is unknown, hence several iterations were performed until the neutral axis was found to be located at 41.5 mm below the concrete
compressive surface, hence the compressive steel $\left(A_{s c}\right)$ is assumed to in tension as seen in Figure 315.


Figure 315: Neutral Axis Depth

Referring to Figure 315, check the strain in the steel:
$\frac{\varepsilon_{c}}{50-41.5}=\frac{0.003}{41.5}, \varepsilon_{c}=0.000614$
As $0.000614<0.0025$, compression steel is not at yield.
$\frac{\varepsilon_{t}}{138.5}=\frac{0.003}{41.5}, \varepsilon_{c}=0.01$

As $0.01>0.0025$, tension steel is at yield.

Assuming all tensile forces are equal to compressive forces, the compressive steel is in tension and the neutral axis is located at 41.5 mm below the compression flange:
$\rightarrow A_{s t} f_{s y}+A_{s c} E_{s} \varepsilon_{c}=\alpha_{2} f_{c}^{\prime} \gamma K_{u} d b$
$\rightarrow(400)(500)+(400)\left(200 * 10^{3}\right)(0.000614)=(0.85)(40)(0.77)(41.5)(230)$
$\rightarrow 249.1 \approx 249.9$
$K_{u}=\frac{41.5}{180}=0.23$, ductility okay

Neutral axis is at 41.5, hence $K_{u} d=41.5$


Figure 316: Force Locations \& Moment Arms
Referring to Figure 316 the capacity of the column in pure bending can be calculated:
$M_{u}=T_{s} Z_{c}+T_{c} Z_{s}$
$T_{S}=(400)(500)\left(10^{-3}\right)=200 \mathrm{kN}$
$T_{c}=(400)\left(200 * 10^{3}\right)(0.000614)\left(10^{-3}\right)=49.1 \mathrm{kN}$
$M_{u}=(200)\left(10^{3}\right)(180-(0.5)(0.77)(41.5))+(49.1)\left(10^{3}\right)(50-(0.5)(0.77)(41.5))=34.5 \mathrm{kNm}$
$\phi M_{u}=0.8 * 34.5=27.6 \mathrm{kNm}$

As $27.6>19.7, \phi M_{u}>M^{*}$, flexural strength okay

## Bar Spacing

The reinforcing bar spacing will need to be wide enough to allow room for the course aggregates within the concrete.
$s=\frac{b-(\text { No.Bars })(\text { Bar Diamater })-(2)(\text { Cover })-(2)(\text { Ligature Diamater })}{(\text { No. Bars })-1}$
$s=\frac{230-(2)(16)-(2)(30)-(2)(12)}{(2)-1}=114 \mathrm{~mm}$, Spacing Okay


Figure 317: Column A \& C, Flexural Design

## Design for Shear

The ultimate shear strength of a reinforced concrete member will be designed in accordance with section 8.2 of AS 3600 .
$V^{*}=40 \mathrm{kN}$, (Figure 140: SFD, Case 2)

## Concrete Shear Capacity

The shear capacity of a concrete member excluding shear reinforcement will be calculated in accordance with section 8.2.7 of AS 3600:
$V_{u c}=\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \beta_{3} b_{v} d_{o} f_{c v}\left(\frac{A_{s t}}{\left(b_{v}\right)\left(d_{o}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$
$\beta_{1}=1.1\left(1.6-\frac{d_{o}}{1000}\right)$
$d_{o}=d=192$
$\beta_{1}=1.1\left(1.6-\frac{180}{1000}\right)=1.562$
$\beta_{2}=1+\left(\frac{N^{*}}{14 \mathrm{Ag}}\right)=1+\frac{119 * 10^{3}}{14 * 52900}=1.16$
$\beta_{3}=1$
$b_{v}=b=230 \mathrm{~mm}$
$f_{c v}=f_{c}{ }^{\prime \frac{1}{3}} \leq M P a$
$f_{c v}=(40)^{\frac{1}{3}}=3.4 M P a$
$V_{u c}=(1.562)(1.16)(1)(230)(180)(3.4)\left(\frac{400}{(230)(180)}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}=54.3 \mathrm{kN}$

In accordance with section 8.25 of AS $3600, V^{*} \leq 0.5 \phi V_{u c}$ where $\phi=0.7$ from table 2.2.2.
$0.5 \phi V_{u c}=0.5 * 0.7 * 54.3=19 k N$

As $19<40,0.5 \phi V_{u c}<V^{*}$, concrete shear capacity not okay

As the concrete member does not have enough shear capacity, the minimum shear reinforcement requirements will need to be met.

## Minimum Shear Steel Capacity

A reinforced concrete member containing minimum shear reinforcement will be designed in accordance with section 8.2.9 of AS 3600
$V_{u, \text { min }}=V_{u c}+0.1 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{v} d_{o} \geq V_{u c}+0.6 b_{v} d_{o}$
$V_{u, \text { min }}=(54.3)\left(10^{3}\right)+(0.1)(\sqrt{(40})(230)(180) \geq(54.3)\left(10^{3}\right)+(0.6)(230)(180)$
$V_{u, \text { min }}=80.5 \geq 79.1$
$V_{u, \text { min }}=80.5$
$\phi V_{u, \text { min }}=(0.7)(80.5)=56.4 \mathrm{kN}$
As $56.4>40, \phi V_{u, \min }>V^{*}$, concrete with minimum shear steel capacity okay

As the concrete member containing the minimum shear reinforcement does have enough shear capacity, the minimum shear reinforcement will need to be designed.

## Shear Steel Design

The minimum shear reinforcement will be designed in accordance with section 8.2.8 of AS 3600 .
$A_{s v, \min }=\frac{0.06 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{v} s}{f_{s y}} \geq \frac{0.35 b_{v} s}{f_{s y}}$
$\frac{A_{s v, \text { min }}}{S}=\frac{(0.06) \sqrt{40}(230)}{50} \geq \frac{(0.35)(230)}{500}$
$\frac{A_{s v, \min }}{s}=0.174 \geq 0.161$
$\frac{A_{s v, \min }}{s}=0.174 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} / \mathrm{mm}$

Try $1 N 12$ ligature $=2 * 110=220 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
$\frac{220}{s}=0.174$
$s=1264 \mathrm{~mm}$

Max Longitudinal Spacing $s=\min (500$ or $0.75 D)$
$0.75 \mathrm{D}=172.5 \mathrm{~mm}$
$s=172.5$

Adopt N12 ligatures at 172.5 cts . As the full length of the column extends into the arch the ligatures will be spaced for the full length of the column at 1006 mm and not just the vertical length of 600 mm . Assuming the ligatures will be spaced evenly and they will have a minimum cover of 30 mm from the top and bottom of the column:

Amount of Ligatures $=\frac{1066-(2)(30)}{172.5}=5.48=7$ ligatures
$s=\frac{1066-(2)(30)}{7-1}=167.6 \approx 165 \mathrm{~mm}$

Adopt 7N12 ligatures at 165 cts.

### 3.5.2. Beam Design (B)

### 3.5.2.1. Finite Element Analysis

A finite element analysis was conducted to calculate the load transfer through the arch and onto the top of beam- B as seen below in Figure 318.


Figure 318: Beam B Load Transfer

